

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,513	10/26/2005	David B Smathers	020324 223P2	9964
33805 7590 01/23/2008 WEGMAN, HESSLER & VANDERBURG 6055 ROCKSIDE WOODS BOULEVARD			EXAMINER	
			YANG, JIE	
SUITE 200 CLEVELAND, OH 44131			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/527,513	SMATHERS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jie Yang	1793				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ma	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 March 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	,—					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>14-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
of the stable of						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/13/2005. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

10/527,513 Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Application claims benefit of the filing date (Sept. 13, 2002) of the prior provisional application (60/410,607).

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-3, drawn to a composition comprising Me, Si_3N_4 and sintering aid.

Group II, claim(s) 4-13, drawn to a product of sputtering target with the composition of Me, Si₃N₄ and sintering aid.

Group III, claim(s) 14-20, drawn to a method of making a sputtering target with the composition of Me, Si₃N₄ and sintering aid.

The inventions listed as Groups I, II and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: they lack the same unity a posteriori because the common feature of "composition: Me, Si₃N₄ and

Application/Control Number:

10/527,513 Art Unit: 1793

sintering aid" is known in the art. Yoshimura (US 6,911,162 B2, thereafter US'162) discloses silicon nitride powder sintering with metal powder (Abstract of US'162). US'162 further teaches using Y_2O_3 and Al_2O_3 as sintering aid (Example 1 of US'162). Invention I-III lacks the same or corresponding special technical feature. Therefore unity of invention is lacking and restriction is appropriate.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

A telephone call was made to Mr. Bruce Peacock on Jan. 16, 2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, and result in an election of Group III claim(s) 14-20, drawn to a method of making a sputtering target with the composition of Me, Si₃N₄ and sintering aid with traverse. Refer to the restriction/election requirement list above. The restriction is appropriate. Therefore, claims 1-13 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected group and claims 14-20 are pending for examination.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number:

10/527,513 Art Unit: 1793

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yoshimura (US 6,911,162, thereafter US'162) in view of Miyanaga et al (US 6,544,917, thereafter US'917).

Regarding claims 14, 19-20, US'162 teaches a method to make a silicon nitride composite sintered body (Col.1, lines 9-13 of US'162). US'162 teaches selecting metal powder from Group IVa(Ti, Zr, or Hf), Group Va (V, Nb, or Ta) and Group VIa (Cr, Mo, or W) of the Periodic Table (Col.3, lines 50-56), which reads on the limitation of a) in the instant claim. US'162

10/527,513 Art Unit: 1793

teaches preparing a silicon nitride powder, a metal powder and a powder of sintering aids, which reads on the limitations of b) providing Si3N4 powder and c) providing a sintering aids in the instant claim 14.

Still regarding limitation c) of the instant claim 14, US'162 teaches using Y_2O_3 and Al_2O_3 as sintering aids (Col.5, lines 45-67 of US'162). But US'162 does not specify the sintering aid selected from MgO and SiO. However Y2O3 and Al2O3 are substituting equivalents to MgO and SiO in term of sintering aids, which is evidenced by US'917. US'917 teaches providing a slurry composition suitable for the manufacture of Si_3N_4 sintered bodies (Abstract of US'162). US'917 teaches "Oxides, nitrides, and oxynitrides of at least one element selected from Ca, Sr, Mg, Al, Y, La, Sm, Nd, Yb, and Ce, or their precursors or complexes can be used as the sintering aid". (Col.5, lines 41-53 of US'917). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute Y_2O_3 and Al_2O_3 with MgO as a sintering aid in process of US'162, because MgO would be functional equivalents to Y2O3 and Al₂O₃ as the sintering aids, as evidenced by US'917 and success could be expected. See MPEP 2144.06.

Application/Control Number: 10/527,513

Art Unit: 1793

US'162 teaches grinding /mixing the powders to make a mixed powder (Col.4, lines 7-22 of US'162), which reads on the blending step d) in the instant claim 14. US'162 further teaches the molded body is sintered at a temperature range from 1100 to 1700°C in a non-oxidative atmosphere and the sintered body has a density 95% or more; US'162 also teaches the sintering may be carried out under a pressure (Col.5, lines 5-27 of US'162), which reads on the limitations e) in the instant claim 14 and the limitation of claims 19-20.

Regarding the preamble of "making a sputtering target" as recited in the instant claim, which is recognized as an intended use of the sintered body and does not add patentable weight for the instant process claims. See MPEP 2111.02 II.

Regarding claim 15, US'162 teaches a further electric discharge machining for the sintered body (Col.7, lines 16-23 of US'162).

Regarding claim 16, US'162 teaches metal powders contain 5-60% by volume (Col.1, line 58 to col.2, line 7; Col.2, line 62 to Col.3, line 9 of US'162), which overlaps the 40 to 80 atomic percent Me in the mixed powder as claimed in the instant claim. US'162 further teaches adding sintering aids Y_2O_3 of 2.5% by weight and Al_2O_3 of 1% by weight, respectively related to the

weight of silicon nitride were prepared (Col.5, lines 50-67 of US'162), which is within the sintering aid weight percentage as claimed in the instant claim.

Regarding claim 17, US'162 teaches the metal could be W (Col.3, lines 50-56 of US'162).

Regarding claim 18, US'162 teaches sintering in a non-oxidative atmosphere and pressure operation, which reads on the limitation of the instant claim.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jie Yang whose telephone number is 571-2701884.

The examiner can normally be reached on IFP.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-2721244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/527,513 Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JΥ

ROY KING
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700